

On a viability result for differential inclusions with Stieltjes derivative

by

Bianca Satco

Stefan cel Mare University of Suceava (Romania)

with George Smyrlis

NTUA Athens (Greece)

IMDETA

5th November 2025

Abstract.

Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a left-continuous nondecreasing function and μ_g the **Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure** generated by g on $[0, 1]$.

We prove a **viability result** for the following Initial Value Problem which corresponds to a **Stieltjes differential inclusion**:

$$\begin{cases} u'_g(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \mu_g - a.e. t \in [0, 1] \\ u(t) \in K(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) = x_0 \in K(0) \end{cases}$$

where

$$K : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cc}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (d \geq 1)$$

are convex compact set valued maps.

Based on the above result, we obtain a **Filippov type lemma** for Stieltjes inclusions.

Preliminaries.

Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a left-continuous nondecreasing function.

- The measurability with respect to the σ -algebra (containing Borel sets) defined by g on $[0, 1]$ will be called **g -measurability**.
- μ_g stands for the **Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure** generated by g on $[0, 1]$.
In particular, for $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, we have

$$\mu_g([a, b)) = g(b) - g(a), \quad \mu_g(\{a\}) = g(a^+) - g(a).$$

- The **Lebesgue-Stieltjes (LS) integrability w.r.t. g** means the abstract Lebesgue integrability w.r.t. the Stieltjes measure μ_g .
- Let $L_g^1([0, 1], \mu_g)$ be the space of LS-integrable functions $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 1$) w.r.t. μ_g .
- The g -**topology** on $[0, 1]$ is the topology with basis the class of all sets

$$V_{g,\delta}(t) = \{t' \in [0, 1] : |g(t') - g(t)| < \delta\}, \quad \delta > 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Stieltjes derivative

- *R. López Pouso, A. Rodríguez, A new unification of continuous, discrete and impulsive calculus through Stieltjes derivatives. Real Anal. Exch., 2015.*
- *Young, W.H., On integrals and derivatives with respect to a function, Proc. London Math. Soc., 1(1917), s2-15 35 –63.*

Definition: The derivative with respect to g (the g -derivative) of $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ at a point $t \in [0, 1]$ is

$$f'_g(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{g(s) - g(t)} \quad \text{if } g \text{ is continuous at } t,$$

$$f'_g(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{g(s) - g(t)} \quad \text{if } g \text{ is discontinuous at } t,$$

provided the limit exists.

Remark: If t is a point of discontinuity of g , the g -derivative $f'_g(t)$ exists if and only if the sided limit $f(t+)$ exists, and in this case

$$f'_g(t) = \frac{f(t+) - f(t)}{g(t+) - g(t)}.$$

The definition has no meaning in the parts of the domain in which g is constant; denote such a region by C_g (this doesn't provoke problems since $\mu_g(C_g) = 0$).

However, it has been generalized so as to include the points of C_g as well.

• *F.J. Fernández, I. Márquez Albés, F.A.F. Tojo, On first and second order linear Stieltjes differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **511**(2022), No. 1, 126010.*

Let

$$N_g = \{u_n, v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus D_g,$$

where $C_g = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (u_n, v_n)$ with $(u_n, v_n)_n$ pairwise disjoint.

Let $N_g^- = \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus D_g$ and $N_g^+ = \{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus D_g$.

The derivative with respect to g of $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ at $\bar{t} \in [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$f'_g(\bar{t}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \bar{t}} \frac{f(t) - f(\bar{t})}{g(t) - g(\bar{t})} \quad \text{if } \bar{t} \notin D_g \cup C_g,$$

$$f'_g(\bar{t}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \bar{t}+} \frac{f(t) - f(\bar{t})}{g(t) - g(\bar{t})} \quad \text{if } \bar{t} \in D_g,$$

$$f'_g(\bar{t}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow v_n+} \frac{f(t) - f(v_n)}{g(t) - g(v_n)} \quad \text{if } \bar{t} \in (u_n, v_n) \subseteq C_g,$$

if the limits exist.

The points of N_g must be approached in the following manner:

$$f'_g(\bar{t}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \bar{t}+} \frac{f(t) - f(\bar{t})}{g(t) - g(\bar{t})} \quad \text{if } \bar{t} \in N_g^+,$$

$$f'_g(\bar{t}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \bar{t}-} \frac{f(t) - f(\bar{t})}{g(t) - g(\bar{t})} \quad \text{if } \bar{t} \in N_g^-.$$

Classical Viability Theory.

Let K be a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 1$).

Definition 2.1. (G. Bouligand (1932)). Let $x \in K$. The **contingent cone** of K at x is defined as follows:

$$T_K(x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d(x + hy, K)}{h} = 0 \right\}.$$

- $y \in T_K(x)$ iff there exist sequences

$$(h_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (x_k)_k \subseteq K$$

such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = 0, \quad y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k - x}{h_k}.$$

- $T_K(x)$ is a closed cone. If K is convex, $T_K(x)$ is convex too.
- for $x \in \text{int}K$, $T_K(x) = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 2.2. A function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is called K -**viable** iff $u(t) \in K, \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ be K -viable and differentiable. Then

$$u'(t) \in T_K(u(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Theorem 2.1.(M. Nagumo (1942)). Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded continuous map. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) for each $x_0 \in K$, the Initial Value Problem

$$u'(t) = f(u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad u(0) = x_0$$

has at least one K -viable solution.

(ii) $f(x) \in T_K(x), \forall x \in K$.

The above theorem is extended to the case of differential inclusions as follows:

Theorem 2.2. (J.-P. Aubin - A. Cellina (1984)). *Let $F : K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be upper semicontinuous with compact convex values . Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *for each $x_0 \in K$, the Initial Value Problem*

$$u'(t) \in F(u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad u(0) = x_0$$

has at least one K – viable solution.

(ii) $F(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \emptyset, \forall x \in K$.

Next, we consider the more general case where K **depends on the time** $t \in [0, 1]$.

Namely, let $K : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a set-valued map with closed graph.

Definition 2.3. A function $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is called $K(\cdot)$ –**viable** iff $u(t) \in K(t), \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.4. Let $t \in [0, 1)$, $x \in K(t)$. The **contingent derivative** of the map K at (t, x) is defined as follows:

$$DK(t, x) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d(x + hy, K(t + h))}{h} = 0 \right\}.$$

Remark 2.1: $y \in DK(t, x)$ iff there exist sequences

$$(h_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (x_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$$

such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = 0, \quad x_k \in K(t + h_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k - x}{h_k}.$$

Remark 2.2: Assume that K is upper semicontinuous. Then for all $t \in [0, 1)$, $x \in K(t)$,

$$y \in DK(t, x) \iff (1, y) \in T_{\text{Graph}K}(t, x).$$

In particular, if $K(t) = K$, $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$DK(t, x) = T_K(x), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1), x \in K.$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be $K(\cdot)$ –viable and differentiable. Then*

$$u'(t) \in DK(t, u(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1).$$

Theorem 2.3. (J.-P. Aubin - A. Cellina (1984)). *Let $F : \text{Graph}K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be upper semicontinuous with compact convex values. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *for each $x_0 \in K$, the Initial Value Problem*

$$u'(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad u(0) = x_0$$

has at least one $K(\cdot)$ –viable AC solution.

(ii) $F(t, x) \cap DK(t, x) \neq \emptyset, \forall t \in [0, 1), \forall x \in K(t).$

Now we consider the much more demanding case of **measurable viability** problems.

Theorem 2.4.(H. Frankowska - S. Plaszkacz -T. Tzezuchowski (1995)).

Assume that K is absolutely continuous from the left in the following sense :

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying, for any set $\{(t'_\gamma, t''_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-overlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$ with Γ at most countable index set,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (t''_\gamma - t'_\gamma) < \delta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e(K(t'_\gamma), K(t''_\gamma)) < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, let $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that

- *F is of **Carathéodory type**, i.e.,*
 - *for μ - a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, $x \mapsto F(t, x)$ is continuous.*
 - *for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \mapsto F(t, x)$ is measurable.*
- *for μ – a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|F(t, x)| \leq h(t),$$

where $h \in L^1([0, 1], \mu)$, $h \geq 0$.

Then the following are equivalent:

(i) for each $x_0 \in K(0)$, the Initial Value Problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \mu - a.e. t \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) = x_0 \in K(0), \end{cases}$$

has at least one $K(\cdot)$ – viable absolutely continuous (AC) solution.

(ii) there exists $E \subset [0, 1]$ with $\mu(E) = 0$ such that for every $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E$ and every $x \in K(t)$,

$$F(t, x) \cap DK(t, x) \neq \emptyset.$$

A viability result for Stieltjes differential inclusions.

We will need the notion of **contingent g -derivative** which extends the notion of contingent derivative mentioned above and it is naturally used in studying viability problems for **Stieltjes differential inclusions**.

Definition 3.1 (R. López Pouso - I. Márquez Albés - J. Rodríguez-Lopez (2020)). Let $t \in [0, 1)$, $x \in K(t)$. The **contingent g -derivative** of K at (t, x) is the set $D_g K(t, x)$ containing all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with the property

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d(x + (g(t+h) - g(t))y, K(t+h))}{g(t+h) - g(t)} = 0.$$

It is easily checked that $y \in D_g K(t, x)$ iff there exist sequences

$$(h_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (x_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$$

such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = 0, \quad x_k \in K(t + h_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k - x}{g(t + h_k) - g(t)}.$$

Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a left-continuous nondecreasing function and μ_g the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure generated by g on $[0, 1]$.

We aim to prove a **viability result** for the following Initial Value Problem which corresponds to a **Stieltjes differential inclusion**:

$$\begin{cases} u'_g(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \mu_g - a.e. \ t \in [0, 1) \\ u(t) \in K(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \\ u(0) = x_0 \in K(0). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Hypotheses:

1). $K : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is **g -absolutely continuous from the left w.r.t. the g -topology** in the following sense :

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying, for any set $\{(t'_\gamma, t''_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-overlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$ with Γ at most countable index set,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (g(t''_\gamma) - g(t'_\gamma)) < \delta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e(K(t'_\gamma), K(t''_\gamma)) < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, we assume that **at every discontinuity point** $t_1 \in [0, 1)$ of g , K has **limit at the right** for the usual topology in the sense of **Hausdorff distance**:

there exists $K(t_1^+) \in \mathcal{P}_{cc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_{t_1, \varepsilon} > 0$ satisfying, for any $t \in [0, 1]$ with $t_1 < t < t_1 + \delta_{t_1, \varepsilon}$,

$$K(t) \subset K(t_1^+) + B_\varepsilon(0) \quad \text{and} \quad K(t_1^+) \subset K(t) + B_\varepsilon(0).$$

2). The map $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is **upper semicontinuous** for the product of the g -**topology** of $[0, 1]$ with the usual topology of $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e.,

for each (t, x) and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all (t', x') ,

if $|g(t) - g(t')| < \delta_\varepsilon$ and $\|x' - x\| < \delta_\varepsilon$, then

$$F(t', x') \subset F(t, x) + B_\varepsilon(0).$$

3). There is $M > 0$ such that for every $(t, x) \in \text{Graph}K$, $|F(t, x)| \leq M$.

4). There exists $E \subset [0, 1]$ with $\mu_g(E) = 0$ such that for every $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E$ and every $x \in K(t)$,

$$F(t, x) \cap D_g K(t, x) \neq \emptyset.$$

The first **key auxiliary result** is the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let $t_0 \in [0, 1) \setminus E$ and $\overline{x_0} \in K(t_0)$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $v : [t_0, t_0 + \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ a g -absolutely continuous function with*

$$v(t_0) = \overline{x_0} ;$$

$$v(t) \in K(V_{g,\varepsilon}^l(t)) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0), \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta];$$

$$v(t_0 + \delta) \in K(t_0 + \delta);$$

$$v'_g(t) \in F((V_{g,\varepsilon}(t) \times (v(t) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0))) \cap \text{Graph}K) + B_\varepsilon(0),$$

$$\mu_g - a.e. \text{ on } [t_0, t_0 + \delta).$$

Here

$$V_{g,\varepsilon}^l(t) = \{t' \in [0, t] : g(t) - g(t') < \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$V_{g,\varepsilon}(t) = \{t' \in [0, 1] : |g(t) - g(t')| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Sketch of the proof:

We will present the proof only in the case where

- t_0 is a discontinuity point of g
- g is not constant at the right of t_0 .

Due to **hypothesis 4)**, we may find

$$y \in F(t_0, \bar{x}_0) \cap D_g K(t_0, \bar{x}_0),$$

i.e.,

$$y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k - \bar{x}_0}{g(t_0 + h_k) - g(t_0)},$$

for some $h_k \downarrow 0$ and $x_k \in K(t_0 + h_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows that there exists the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ and

$$y = \frac{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k - \bar{x}_0}{g(t_0^+) - g(t_0)}.$$

Moreover, **hypothesis 1)** implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k \in K(t_0^+)$.

Choose $y_k \in F(t_0 + h_k, x_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and k_ε such that

$$g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}) - g(t_0^+) < \varepsilon \cdot \min \left(1, \frac{g(t_0^+) - g(t_0)}{1 + M} \right),$$

$$\|x_{k_\varepsilon} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k\| < \varepsilon \cdot \min \left(1, \frac{g(t_0^+) - g(t_0)}{1 + M} \right),$$

where $M > 0$ is as postulated in **hypothesis 3)**, i.e.,

$$|F(t, x)| \leq M, \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in \text{Graph} K.$$

Define $v : [t_0, t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ as follows:

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \overline{x_0}, & \text{if } t = t_0 \\ x_{k_\varepsilon} + y_{k_\varepsilon}(g(t) - g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon})), & \text{if } t \in (t_0, t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}]. \end{cases}$$

Fix $t \in (t_0, t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k\| &\leq \varepsilon + \|v(t) - x_{k_\varepsilon}\| \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \|(g(t) - g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}))y_{k_\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon M. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, **hypothesis 1)** implies that

$$K(t_0^+) \subset K(V_{g,\varepsilon}^l(t)) + B_\varepsilon(0).$$

Consequently,

$$v(t) \in K(t_0^+) + B_{\varepsilon + \varepsilon M}(0) \subset K(V_{g,\varepsilon}^l(t)) + B_{2\varepsilon + \varepsilon M}(0).$$

Moreover, $v(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}) = x_{k_\varepsilon} \in K(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon})$.

To check the last assertion for $t = t_0$ we may write

$$v'_g(t_0) = \frac{v(t_0+) - v(t_0)}{g(t_0+) - g(t_0)} = \frac{x_{k_\varepsilon} - \overline{x_0} + y_{k_\varepsilon}(g(t_0+) - g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}))}{g(t_0+) - g(t_0)},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|v'_g(t_0) - y\| &= \left\| \frac{x_{k_\varepsilon} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k + y_{k_\varepsilon}(g(t_0+) - g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}))}{g(t_0+) - g(t_0)} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|x_{k_\varepsilon} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k\|}{g(t_0+) - g(t_0)} + \frac{\|y_{k_\varepsilon}\|(g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}) - g(t_0+))}{g(t_0+) - g(t_0)} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + M} + \frac{\varepsilon M}{1 + M} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y \in F(t_0, \overline{x_0}) = F(t_0, v(t_0))$, the assertion is proved.

Finally, for $t \in (t_0, t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon})$, we have

$$\|v(t) - x_{k_\varepsilon}\| \leq \varepsilon M, \quad 0 \leq g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}) - g(t) \leq g(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}) - g(t_0^+) < \varepsilon$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} v'_g(t) &= y_{k_\varepsilon} \in F(t_0 + h_{k_\varepsilon}, x_{k_\varepsilon}) \subset \\ &\subset F((V_{g,\varepsilon}(t) \times (v(t) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0))) \cap \text{Graph}K). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now fix $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since K is g -**AC from the left** (see hypothesis 1), we may choose $r_\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon)$ s.t.

for any set $\{(t'_\gamma, t''_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-overlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$ with Γ at most countable index set,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (g(t''_\gamma) - g(t'_\gamma)) < r_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e(K(t'_\gamma), K(t''_\gamma)) < \varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, since $\mu_g(E) = 0$ (see hypothesis 4), the **regularity** of the measure μ_g implies that there exists E_ε **open** with

$$E \subset E_\varepsilon, \quad \mu_g(E_\varepsilon) < r_\varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

Under the above circumstances, the **second key auxiliary result** is:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varepsilon, r_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon$ be as in (2), (3). Then $\exists v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ a g -AC function satisfying:*

$$v(0) = x_0;$$

$$v(t) \in K(V_{g,\varepsilon}^l(t)) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0), \forall t \in [0, 1];$$

$$[0, 1) = \cup_{i \in I} [\alpha_i, \beta_i), \quad [\alpha_i, \beta_i), \quad i \in I \text{ being pairwise disjoint ,}$$

where I is at most countable such that for each $i \in I$,

- *if $\alpha_i \in E$, then $[\alpha_i, \beta_i) \subset E_\varepsilon$ and either*

g is constant on $[\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ or

$$\int_{[\alpha_i, \beta_i)} \|v'_g(t)\| dg(t) \leq e(K(\alpha_i), K(\beta_i));$$

- *otherwise, μ_g - a.e. on $[\alpha_i, \beta_i)$*

$$v'_g(t) \in F((V_{g,\varepsilon}(t) \times (v(t) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0))) \cap \text{Graph}K) + B_\varepsilon(0).$$

Steps of the proof:

Step 1: We consider the set $\mathcal{M}$ of all triplets $(v, \delta, \{[\alpha_i, \beta_i) : i \in I\})$ with the following properties:

- $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and $v : [0, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is g -AC with $v(0) = x_0$;
- $v(t) \in K(V_{g,\varepsilon}^l(t)) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0), \forall t \in [0, \delta]$;
- $v(\delta) \in K(\delta)$;
- $[0, \delta) = \cup_{i \in I} [\alpha_i, \beta_i), [\alpha_i, \beta_i), i \in I$ being pairwise disjoint ,
where I is at most countable such that for each $i \in I$,
- if $\alpha_i \in E$, then $[\alpha_i, \beta_i) \subset E_\varepsilon$ and either
 g is constant on $[\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ or
$$\int_{[\alpha_i, \beta_i)} \|v'_g(t)\| dg(t) \leq e(K(\alpha_i), K(\beta_i));$$
- if $\alpha_i \notin E$, $\mu_g - a.e.$ on $[\alpha_i, \beta_i)$
 $v'_g(t) \in F((V_{g,\varepsilon}(t) \times (v(t) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon}(0))) \cap \text{Graph}K) + B_\varepsilon(0).$

By using (2) combined with **Lemma 3.1** we may prove that the set $\mathcal{M}$ defined above is **nonempty**.

Step 2: We consider on $\mathcal{M}$ a **partial ordering** ($\preceq$) in the following way:

$$(v_1, \delta_1, \{[\alpha_i^1, \beta_i^1) : i \in I_1\}) \preceq (v_2, \delta_2, \{[\alpha_i^2, \beta_i^2) : i \in I_2\})$$

iff

- $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$ and $v_2|_{[0, \delta_1]} = v_1$.
- $\{[\alpha_i^1, \beta_i^1) : i \in I_1\} \subset \{[\alpha_i^2, \beta_i^2) : i \in I_2\}$.

By using **Zorn's Lemma** combined with the g - **absolute continuity of K from the left**, we prove that the set $\mathcal{M}$ admits a **maximal element**

$$(\bar{v}, \bar{\delta}, \{[\alpha_i, \beta_i) : i \in I\}).$$

Step 3: Arguing by contradiction and exploiting **Lemma 3.1**, we prove that $\bar{\delta} = 1$.

□

In the proof of our main result we will also need the following

Lemma 3.2. (R. López Pouso - I. Márquez Albés - J. Rodríguez-Lopez (2020)).

Let

$$v_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

be a sequence of g -AC functions, pointwisely convergent to some $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$.

Assume that $\exists C > 0$ s.t. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|(v_n)'_g(t)\| \leq C, \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. on } [0, 1).$$

Then v is g -AC and

$$v'_g(t) \in \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}} \bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} \{(v_n)'_g(t)\}, \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. on } [0, 1).$$

Moreover, the sequence $(v_n)_n$ has a subsequence uniformly convergent to v .

Now our main viability existence result is the following

Theorem 3.2. *Under assumptions 1) – 4), the problem (1) has g -absolutely continuous solutions on $[0, 1]$.*

Steps of the proof:

Step 1: Choose $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive numbers convergent to 0.

The **left** g - **AC** of K gives rise to a sequence

$$r_{\varepsilon_n} \in (0, \varepsilon_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

satisfying:

for any set $\{(t'_\gamma, t''_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of non-overlapping subintervals of $[0, 1]$ with Γ at most countable,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (g(t''_\gamma) - g(t'_\gamma)) < r_{\varepsilon_n} \implies \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e(K(t'_\gamma), K(t''_\gamma)) < \varepsilon_n.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose an **open set** E_n with

$$E \subset E_n, \quad \mu_g(E_n) < r_{\varepsilon_n}.$$

We may suppose that $(E_n)_n$ is a decreasing sequence.

By virtue of Theorem 3.1, there exists a sequence $v_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of g -AC functions satisfying the following conditions:

$$v_n(0) = x_0;$$

$$v_n(t) \in K(V_{g, \varepsilon_n}^l(t)) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon_n}(0), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1];$$

$$[0, 1) = \cup_{i \in I^n} [\alpha_i^n, \beta_i^n),$$

where I^n is at most countable such that for each $i \in I^n$,

- if $\alpha_i^n \in E$, then $[\alpha_i^n, \beta_i^n) \subset E_n$ and either g is constant on $[\alpha_i^n, \beta_i^n)$ or

$$\int_{[\alpha_i^n, \beta_i^n)} \|(v_n)'_g(t)\| dg(t) \leq e(K(\alpha_i^n), K(\beta_i^n))$$

- if $\alpha_i^n \notin E$,

$$(v_n)'_g(t) \in$$

$$\in F((V_{g, \varepsilon_n}(t) \times (v_n(t) + B_{(2+M)\varepsilon_n}(0))) \cap \text{Graph}K) + B_{\varepsilon_n}(0),$$

$$\mu_g - a.e. \text{ on } [\alpha_i^n, \beta_i^n).$$

Due to **hypothesis 3)**, the last one implies

$$\|(v_n)'_g(t)\| \leq M + \varepsilon_n, \quad \mu_g - a.e. \text{ on } [\alpha_i^n, \beta_i^n).$$

Step 2: By using the properties of the sequence $((v_n)'_g)_n$ we prove that

$$\sup_n \int_0^1 \| (v_n)'_g(t) \| d\mu_g(t) < \infty$$

and that the sequence $((v_n)'_g)_n$ is μ_g – **uniformly integrable**, i.e.,

for each $\eta > 0$, $\exists \theta_\eta > 0$ s.t. for each g – measurable set $A \subset [0, 1]$,

$$\mu_g(A) < \theta_\eta \implies \int_A \| (v_n)'_g(t) \| d\mu_g(t) < \eta, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that the sequence $((v_n)'_g)_n$ is **weakly** $L_g^1([0, 1])$ – **relatively compact**.

On a subsequence (not relabelled) it **weakly converges** in $L_g^1([0, 1])$ to some function $w \in L_g^1([0, 1])$.

By the **fundamental theorem of calculus** we infer that

$$v_n(t) \rightarrow v(t) = x_0 + \int_{[0, t)} w(t) d\mu_g(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Clearly, v is g – AC.

Step 3: By using the properties of the sequence $(v_n)_n$ combined with the **left g -AC** of K , we prove that

$$v(t) \in K(t), \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, 1].$$

Step 4: By using the properties of the sequence $((v_n)'_g)_n$, we prove that $\exists \tilde{C} > 0$ such that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|(v_n)'_g(t)\| \leq \tilde{C}, \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. in } [0, 1) \setminus E_N, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

Step 5: Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 4 combined with **Lemma 3.2** imply that

$$v'_g(t) \in \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}} \bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} \{(v_n)'_g(t)\}, \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. on } [0, 1) \setminus E_N.$$

Moreover, by passing to subsequences, we may assume that

$$v_n \rightarrow v, \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, 1] \setminus E_N.$$

Step 6: We prove that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$v'_g(t) \in F(t, v(t)), \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. on } [0, 1) \setminus E_N .$$

The proof is based on Step 5,

- the last assertion satisfied by $((v_n)'_g)_n$.
- the fact that $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is g - **upper semicontinuous with compact convex values (hypothesis 2)**.

Step 7: We prove that

$$v'_g(t) \in F(t, v(t)), \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. on } [0, 1).$$

This follows easily from Step 6 combined with the fact that

$$\mu_g \left(\bigcap_n E_n \right) = \lim_n \mu_g(E_n) = 0.$$

□

4. A Filippov-type result.

First, we recall two classical results from the theory of ODEs.

Let $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be continuous satisfying

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L(t)\|x - y\|, \quad \forall (t, x), (t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $L(\cdot) \in L^1_+[0, 1]$.

Proposition 4.1. *If u, v are AC solutions of the ODE*

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, 1],$$

then

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq \|u(0) - v(0)\| e^{\int_0^t L(s) ds}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Next proposition extends the above result to the case where v is not necessarily a solution but “differs from being a solution” by some integrable function.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $u, v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be AC functions s.t.*

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad \|v'(t) - f(t, v(t))\| \leq \gamma(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, 1],$$

where $\gamma(\cdot) \in L^1_+([0, 1])$.

Then $\forall t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq e^{\int_0^t L(s)ds} \left[\|x_0 - v(0)\| + \int_0^t \gamma(s) e^{-\int_0^s L(\tau)d\tau} ds \right].$$

An extension of Prop. 4.2 to the **multi-valued case** is the celebrated **Filippov lemma**:

Theorem 4.1 (A. Filippov (1967)). Let $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be of Caratheodory type s.t.

$$D(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq L(t) \|x - y\|, \quad \forall (t, x), (t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $L(\cdot) \in L^1_+([0, 1])$. Moreover, let $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be an AC function s.t.

$$d(v'(t), F(t, v(t))) \leq \gamma(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, 1],$$

where $\gamma(\cdot) \in L^1_+([0, 1])$. Then $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists an AC solution u of the IVP

$$u'(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, 1], \quad u(0) = x_0$$

s.t.

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq r(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$r(t) = e^{\int_0^t L(s) ds} \left[\|x_0 - v(0)\| + \int_0^t \gamma(s) e^{-\int_0^s L(\tau) d\tau} ds \right], \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Remark: The function $r(\cdot)$ mentioned above is the unique AC solution of the IVP

$$r'(t) = L(t)r(t) + \gamma(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, 1], \quad r(0) = \|x_0 - v(0)\|.$$

A characteristic **Filippov -type result for Stieltjes differential inclusions** is recalled below.

Theorem 4.2 (A. Fryszkowski, J. Sadowski (2021)).

Let $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a compact valued map of Carathéodory-type s.t.

$$D(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq L(t) \|x - y\|, \quad \forall (t, x), (t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $L(\cdot) \in L^1_+([0, 1], \mu_g)$.

Moreover, let $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a g -AC function s.t.

$$d(v'_g(t), F(t, v(t))) \leq \gamma(t), \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. in } [0, 1],$$

where $\gamma(\cdot) \in L^1_+([0, 1], \mu_g)$.

Then $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a g -AC solution u of the IVP

$$u'_g(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. in } [0, 1], \quad u(0) = x_0$$

s.t. $\forall t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq e^{\int_{[0,t)} L(s) d\mu_g(s)} \cdot \left(\|x_0 - v(0)\| + \int_{[0,t)} \gamma(s) d\mu_g(s) \right).$$

We derive a **new Filippov-type lemma**, a direct extension of the original one (Th. 4.1.).

Theorem 4.3. (I. Márquez Albés (2021)). Let $L, \gamma \in L^1([0, 1], \mu_g)$ such that $\forall t \in [0, 1]$,

$$1 + L(t)\Delta g(t) > 0, \quad \text{where } \Delta g(t) = g(t+) - g(t).$$

Then the Stieltjes linear Cauchy problem

$$r'_g(t) = L(t)r(t) + \gamma(t), \quad \mu_g - \text{a.e. in } [0, 1), \quad r(0) = r_0$$

has a unique g -AC solution

$$r(t) = e_L(t) \left[r_0 + \int_{[0, t)} \frac{\gamma(s)}{e_L(s)(1 + L(s)\Delta g(s))} d\mu_g(s) \right], \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

where

$$e_L(t) = e^{\int_{[0, t)} \tilde{L}(s) dg(s)}$$

with

$$\tilde{L}(t) = \begin{cases} L(t), & \text{if } g \text{ is continuous at } t \\ \frac{\log[1 + L(t)\Delta g(t)]}{\Delta g(t)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u'_g(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \mu_g - a.e. \ t \in [0, 1), \\ u(0) = x_0, \ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $F : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be compact-valued s.t. for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$D(F(t, x), F(s, y)) \leq L(\|x - y\| + |g(t) - g(s)|),$$

where L is a positive constant.

Then given a g -AC function $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, denoting by

$$d(v'_g(t), F(t, v(t))) = \gamma(t),$$

there exists a solution u of (4) such that

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq r(t), \quad \forall \ t \in [0, 1]$$

where $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unique g -AC solution of the linear g -differential problem

$$\begin{aligned} r'_g(t) &= Lr(t) + \gamma(t), \mu_g - a.e. \text{ on } [0, 1) \\ r(0) &= \|x_0 - v(0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can combine Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 to obtain

Corollary 4.1. *Under the hypotheses of Th.4.4, we have that $\forall t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\| &\leq r(t) = \\ &= e_L(t) \left[\|x_0 - v(0)\| + \int_{[0,t)} \frac{\gamma(s)}{e_L(s)(1 + L\Delta g(s))} d\mu_g(s) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e_L(t) = e^{\int_{[0,t)} \tilde{L}(s) d\mu_g(s)}$$

with

$$\tilde{L}(t) = \begin{cases} L, & \text{if } g \text{ is continuous at } t \\ \frac{\log[1 + L\Delta g(t)]}{\Delta g(t)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Sketch of the proof of Th.4.4:

Let E be a g -null set such that on $[0, 1) \setminus E$ the functions v and r are g -differentiable.

Define $K : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$K(t) = v(t) + r(t) \cdot \overline{B(0, 1)}.$$

The idea is to prove that F, K satisfy the hypotheses of the viability result in Theorem 3.2.

Hypotheses 1), 2), 3) are easily checked.

Fix $t \in [0, 1] \setminus E$ and $x \in K(t)$.

We have to prove that

$$F(t, x) \cap D_g K(t, x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Choose $u \in \overline{B(0, 1)}$ such that $x = v(t) + r(t) \cdot u$.

We will present the proof only in the case where

$$t \text{ is a discontinuity point of } g, \quad \|u\| < 1.$$

Based on the definition of the contingent g - derivative we may show that

$z \in D_g K(t, x)$ iff

$$\|z - v'_g(t)\| \leq r'_g(t) \cdot \|u\| + \frac{r(t+)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \cdot (1 + \|u\|).$$

Since

$$\gamma(t) = d(v'_g(t), F(t, v(t))),$$

we may find $w \in F(t, v(t))$ with

$$\|w - v'_g(t)\| = \gamma(t).$$

Moreover,

$$d(w, F(t, x)) \leq D(F(t, v(t)), F(t, x)) \leq L\|x - v(t)\|$$

so, we can also choose $z \in F(t, x)$ such that

$$\|w - z\| \leq L\|x - y(t)\| \leq Lr(t)\|u\|.$$

Hence,

$$\|z - v'_g(t)\| \leq Lr(t)\|u\| + \gamma(t). \quad (5)$$

We may see that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) &\leq Lr(t) + \gamma(t) + \frac{r(t)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \\ &= r'_g(t) + \frac{r(t)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \\ &= \frac{r(t+)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \leq \frac{r(t+)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \frac{1 + \|u\|}{1 - \|u\|} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\gamma(t) \leq \gamma(t)\|u\| + \frac{r(t+)}{g(t+) - g(t)}(1 + \|u\|). \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - v'_g(t)\| &\leq (Lr(t) + \gamma(t)) \cdot \|u\| + \frac{r(t+)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \cdot (1 + \|u\|) \\ &= r'_g(t) \cdot \|u\| + \frac{r(t+)}{g(t+) - g(t)} \cdot (1 + \|u\|) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$z \in F(t, x) \cap D_g K(t, x).$$

□

The estimation of the distance $\|u(t) - v(t)\|$ obtained in Corollary 4.1 is **better** than the one obtained in Th.4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $L(\cdot), \gamma(\cdot) \in L^1_+([0, 1], \mu_g)$ and $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be g -AC. Set*

$$q(t) = e^{\int_{[0,t)} L(s) d\mu_g(s)} \cdot \left[\|x_0 - v(0)\| + \int_{[0,t)} \gamma(s) d\mu_g(s) \right]$$

and

$$r(t) = e_L(t) \left[\|x_0 - v(0)\| + \int_{[0,t)} \frac{\gamma(s)}{e_L(s)(1 + L(t)\Delta g(s))} d\mu_g(s) \right],$$

where

$$x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \Delta g(t) = g(t^+) - g(t), \quad e_L(t) = e^{\int_{[0,t)} \tilde{L}(s) d\mu_g(s)}$$

with

$$\tilde{L}(t) = \begin{cases} L(t), & \text{if } g \text{ is continuous at } t \\ \frac{\log[1 + L(t)\Delta g(t)]}{\Delta g(t)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $r(t) \leq q(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$.