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First of all I would like to thank the organizers for giving me the opportunity to talk today. Today's talk is in part based on the papers

- T. Akyel and mldc, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 2022.
https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.8111
- T. Akyel and mldc, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica (to appear).

We plan to consider a linear transmission problem for the Helmholtz equation in a domain with a small inclusion, that is motivated by the analysis of timeharmonic Maxwell's Equations. Here we refer to

- M.S. Vogelius and D. Volkov, Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis, 34, (2000), 723-748.

We first introduce a Neumann problem for the Helmholtz equation (and no transmission) in an
'unperturbed domain' $\Omega^{o} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (with no hole).

We fix (throughout the talk) a number $\alpha \in] 0,1[$.
The 'unperturbed' domain $\Omega^{\circ}$ satisfies the following assumption:
( $D O M$ ) It is a bounded open connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, it has a connected exterior (and thus no holes), it contains 0 , it is of class $C^{1, \alpha}$.

Then we introduce a wave number

$$
\left.\left.k_{o} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\right]-\infty, 0\right], \quad \Im k_{o} \geq 0 .
$$

We also assume that $k_{o}^{2}$ is not a Neumann eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ in $\Omega^{0}$. Let

$$
g^{o} \in C^{0, \alpha}\left(\partial \Omega^{o}\right) .
$$

Then we introduce the Neumann problem

$$
\text { (P) } \begin{cases}\Delta u^{o}+k_{o}^{2} u^{o}=0 & \text { in } \Omega^{o}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{\Omega^{o}}} u^{o}=g^{o} & \text { on } \partial \Omega^{o} .\end{cases}
$$

Here $\nu_{\Omega^{\circ}}$ denotes the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega^{\circ}$.
Problem ( P ) is known to have a unique solution
$\tilde{u}^{o}$ in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{o}\right)$.

Next we perturb singularly our problem. Let

$$
\Omega^{i} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

be a domain as in ( $D O M$ ). Let $\left.\epsilon_{0} \in\right] 0,1$ [ be small enough so that

$$
\epsilon \overline{\Omega^{i}} \subseteq \Omega^{o} \quad \forall \epsilon \in\left[-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right]
$$

and we consider the perforated domain

$$
\Omega(\epsilon) \equiv \Omega^{o} \backslash \epsilon \overline{\Omega^{i}}
$$

for $|\epsilon| \leq \epsilon_{0}$. Obviously,

$$
\partial \Omega(\epsilon)=\epsilon \partial \Omega^{i} \cup \partial \Omega^{o}
$$

Also, if $\epsilon$ shrinks to 0 , then
$\underbrace{\Omega(\epsilon)}_{\text {class } C^{1, \alpha}}$ degenerates to $\underbrace{\Omega^{o} \backslash\{0\}}_{\text {is not of class } C^{1, \alpha}}$.


Next we define a transmission problem in $\left(\epsilon \Omega^{i}, \Omega(\epsilon)\right)$.
To do so, we introduce the constants

$$
\left.m^{i}, m^{o} \in\right] 0,+\infty[, \quad a \in] 0,+\infty[, b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

the wave number

$$
\left.\left.k_{i} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\right]-\infty, 0\right], \quad \Im k_{i} \geq 0
$$

and the 'jump' datum for the normal derivatives

$$
g^{i} \in C^{0, \alpha}\left(\partial \Omega^{i}\right)
$$

Then we consider the transmission problem
$\left(P_{\epsilon}\right) \begin{cases}\Delta u^{i}+k_{i}^{2} u^{i}=0 & \text { in } \epsilon \Omega^{i}, \\ \Delta u^{o}+k_{o}^{2} u^{o}=0 & \text { in } \Omega(\epsilon), \\ u^{o}(x)-a u^{i}(x)=b & \forall x \in \epsilon \partial \Omega^{i}, \\ -\frac{1}{m^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{\epsilon} \Omega^{i}} u^{i}(x)+\frac{1}{m^{o}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{\epsilon} \Omega^{i}} u^{o}(x) & \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{\Omega^{o}}} u^{o}=g^{o} & =g^{i}(x / \epsilon) \\ & \forall x \in \epsilon \partial \Omega^{i}, \\ & \text { on } \partial \Omega^{o},\end{cases}$
in the unknown $\left(u^{i}, u^{o}\right) \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\epsilon \overline{\Omega^{i}}\right) \times C^{1, \alpha}(\overline{\Omega(\epsilon)})$ for $\epsilon \in] 0, \epsilon_{0}[$.

The first step is prove the following existence and uniqueness theorem for problem $\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$.

Theorem 1 (of existence and uniqueness) There exists $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \epsilon_{0}[$ such that if $\epsilon \in] 0, \epsilon^{\prime}[$, then the transmission problem $\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$ has one and only one solution

$$
\left(u^{i}(\epsilon, \cdot), u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)\right) \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(\epsilon \overline{\Omega^{i}}\right) \times C^{1, \alpha}(\overline{\Omega(\epsilon)}) .
$$

- Kress, R., Roach, G. F. J. Mathematical Phys. 19 (1978), no. 6, 1433-1437. [case of a domain and its exterior]

Then our goal is to understand the behavior of
$\left(u^{i}(\epsilon, \cdot), u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)\right)$ as $\epsilon$ approaches 0
and of its rescaled version
$\left(u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot), u^{o}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot)\right)$ as $\epsilon$ approaches 0

More precisely, we plan to answer the following questions
(i) Let $\xi$ be fixed in $\overline{\Omega^{i}}$. What can be said on the map $\epsilon \mapsto u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi)$ when $\epsilon>0$ is close to 0 ?
(ii) Let $\xi_{m}$ be fixed in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega^{i}$. What can be said on the map $\epsilon \mapsto u^{o}\left(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi_{m}\right)$ when $\epsilon>0$ is close to 0 ?
(iii) Let $x_{M}$ be fixed in $\overline{\Omega^{o}} \backslash\{0\}$. What can be said on the map $\epsilon \mapsto u^{o}\left(\epsilon, x_{M}\right)$ when $\epsilon>0$ is close to 0 ?

In a sense, questions (i), (ii) concern the 'microscopic' behavior of $u^{i}(\epsilon, \cdot)$ and $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$, whereas question (iii) concerns the 'macroscopic' behavior of $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$.

Questions of this type have long been investigated with the methods of asymptotic analysis, which aim at proving complete asymptotic expansions in terms of the parameter $\epsilon$.

- A.M. Il'in, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 102. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. [method of matching outer and inner asymptotic expansions]
- V.G. Mazya, S.A. Nazarov and B.A. Plamenewskii, I, II, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 111, 112, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
[Compound Expansion Method (also known as MultiScale Expansion Method): a systematic approach for analyzing general Douglis and Nirenberg elliptic boundary value problems in domains with perforations and corners.]


## For today's problem:

- D. Cedio-Fengya, S. Moskow and M.S. Vogelius, Inverse Problems 14 (1998) 553-595.
- M.S. Vogelius and D. Volkov, Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis, 34, (2000), 723-748.
- Hansen, D.J. , Poignard, C., Vogelius, M.S., Appl. Anal. 86 (2007), no. 4, 433-458.

Today, I have no word to add in the realm of asymptotic expansions.

What I want to say is that I and other collaborators:
M. Dalla Riva, P. Musolino, P. Luzzini, R. Pukhtaievych,
S. Gryshchuk and for today's problem my co-author T. Akyel
have tried to represent the dependence of the solutions or eigenvalues of boundary value problems upon a singular perturbation parameter $\epsilon$ around the degenerate case $\epsilon=0$, in terms of
analytic functions
or
of other known functions of $\epsilon$ (such as $\log \epsilon, 1 / \log \epsilon$, etc...)
and we are doing it today for $u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot), u^{o}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot), u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$.
For an introduction to this point of view, we refer to

- Dalla Riva, Matteo; mldc; Musolino, Paolo, Springer, Cham, 2021.

Below $\kappa_{n}=1$ if $n$ is even and $\kappa_{n}=0$ if $n$ is odd and $\delta_{2,2} \equiv 1$ and $\delta_{2, n} \equiv 0$ if $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 2 Let $x_{M} \in \overline{\Omega^{o}} \backslash\{0\}$.
There exist $\left.\epsilon_{x_{M}} \in\right] 0, \epsilon^{\prime}[$, an open neighbourhood $\tilde{U}$ of $(0,0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
and a real analytic $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{U}_{x_{M}}$ from $]-\epsilon_{x_{M}}, \epsilon_{x_{M}}[\times \tilde{U}$ to $\mathbb{C}$
such that

$$
\left.x_{M} \in \overline{\Omega(\epsilon)} \quad \forall \epsilon \in\right]-\epsilon_{x_{M}}, \epsilon_{x_{M}}[
$$

$\left.\left(\epsilon, \kappa_{n} \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{\delta_{2, n}}{\log \epsilon}\right) \in\right]-\epsilon_{x_{M}}, \epsilon_{x_{M}}[\times \tilde{U}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in] 0, \epsilon_{x_{M}}[$ and
$\left.u^{o}\left(\epsilon, x_{M}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{x_{M}}\left[\epsilon, \kappa_{n} \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{\delta_{2, n}}{\log \epsilon}\right] \forall \epsilon \in\right] 0, \epsilon_{x_{M}}[$.
Moreover,

$$
\mathcal{U}_{x_{M}}[0,0,0]=\tilde{u}^{o}\left(x_{M}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{u}^{o}$ is the only solution of the 'unperturbed' Neumann problem ( $P$ ).

What does the previous theorem say?

It says that if $x_{M} \in \overline{\Omega^{\circ}} \backslash\{0\}$, then

- If $n=2$, the solution $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$ evaluated at $x_{M}$ tends to $\tilde{u}^{o}\left(x_{M}\right)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon, \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{1}{\log \epsilon} \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.
- If $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is even, then the solution $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$ evaluated at $x_{M}$ tends to $\tilde{u}^{o}\left(x_{M}\right)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of

$$
\epsilon, \epsilon \log \epsilon \quad \text { for } \epsilon>0 \text { small enough. }
$$

- If $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is odd, then the solution $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$ evaluated at $x_{M}$ tends to $\tilde{u}^{o}\left(x_{M}\right)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.

How about the explicit computation of the Taylor coefficients of the function $\mathcal{U}_{x_{M}}$ ? We have proved no result on today's problem. However, for this type of computation, we mention the work of

- Dalla Riva, M., Musolino, P., Rogosin,S.V.: Asymptot. Anal. 92, 339-361 (2015)
for a singularly perturbed Dirichlet problem for the Laplace operator.

It turns out that the solution $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$ and the rescaled pair ( $u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot), u^{o}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot)$ ) have a limit as $\epsilon$ tends to zero that is related to the solutions of a 'limiting boundary value problem' that we analyze in the following statement.

Theorem 3 The limiting boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u^{i, r}=0 & \text { in } \Omega^{i}, \\ \Delta u_{1}^{o, r}=0 & \text { in } \Omega^{i-}, \\ \Delta u^{o}+k_{o}^{2} u^{o}=0 & \text { in } \Omega^{o}, \\ u_{1}^{o, r}(x)+u^{o}(0)-a u_{1}^{i, r}(x)=b & \forall x \in \partial \Omega^{i}, \\ -\frac{1}{m^{i}} \partial \nu_{\Omega^{i}} u_{1}^{i, r}(x)+\frac{1}{m^{o}} \partial \nu_{\Omega^{i}}^{o, r} u_{1}^{o, r}(x)=0 & \forall x \in \partial \Omega^{i}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega_{\Omega^{o}}} u^{o}=g^{o} & \text { on } \partial \Omega^{o}, \\ \lim _{\rightarrow \rightarrow \infty}^{o} u_{1}^{o, r}(\xi)=0, & \end{cases}
$$

has one and only one solution ( $\left.\tilde{u}_{1}^{i, r}, \tilde{u}_{1}^{o, r}, \tilde{u}^{o}\right)$ in
$C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega^{i}}\right) \times C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega^{i-}}\right) \times C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega^{o}}\right)$.

We now state our main result on the microscopic behaviour of $u^{i}(\epsilon, \cdot)$, i.e., of $u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot)$.

Theorem 4 Let $\xi \in \overline{\Omega^{i}}$. There exist an open neighbourhood $\tilde{U}$ of $(0,0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and real analytic maps $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{i}, \mathcal{U}_{2}^{i}$ from $]-\epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime}[\times \tilde{U}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi)=\mathcal{U}_{1}^{i}\left[\epsilon, \kappa_{n} \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{\delta_{2, n}}{\log \epsilon}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \quad+\left(\kappa_{n} \epsilon \log ^{2} \epsilon\right) \mathcal{U}_{2}^{i}\left[\epsilon, \kappa_{n} \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{\delta_{2, n}}{\log \epsilon}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\epsilon \in] 0, \epsilon^{\prime}[$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}_{1}^{i}[0,0,0]=\tilde{u}_{1}^{i, r}(\xi), \quad \mathcal{U}_{2}^{i}[0,0,0]=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{u}_{1}^{i, r}$ has been defined in Theorem 3.

What does the previous theorem say?
It says that if $\xi \in \overline{\Omega^{i}}$, then

- If $n=2$, then $u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi)$ tends to $\tilde{u}_{1}^{i, r}(\xi)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon, \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{1}{\log \epsilon}, \epsilon \log ^{2} \epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.
- If $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is even, then $u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi)$ tends to $\tilde{u}_{1}^{i, r}(\xi)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon, \epsilon \log \epsilon, \epsilon \log ^{2} \epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.
- If $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is odd, then $u^{i}(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi)$ tends to $\tilde{u}_{1}^{i, r}(\xi)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.

We now state our main result on the microscopic behaviour of $u^{o}(\epsilon, \cdot)$, i.e., of $u^{o}(\epsilon, \epsilon \cdot)$.

Theorem 7 Let $\xi_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{\Omega^{i}}$. Then there exist an open neighbourhood $\tilde{U}$ of $(0,0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\epsilon_{m} \in$ $] 0, \epsilon^{\prime}[$, and two real analytic maps
$\left.\mathcal{U}_{m, 1}^{o}, \mathcal{U}_{m, 2}^{o}:\right]-\epsilon_{m}, \epsilon_{m}[\times \widetilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\epsilon \xi_{m} \in \Omega(\epsilon) \forall \epsilon \in\right]-\epsilon_{m}, \epsilon_{m}[  \tag{8}\\
u^{o}\left(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi_{m}\right)=\mathcal{U}_{m, 1}^{o}\left[\epsilon, \kappa_{n} \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{\delta_{2, n}}{\log \epsilon}\right]  \tag{9}\\
+\left(\kappa_{n} \epsilon \log ^{2} \epsilon\right) \mathcal{U}_{m, 2}^{o}\left[\epsilon, \kappa_{n} \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{\delta_{2, n}}{\log \epsilon}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\epsilon \in] 0, \epsilon_{m}[$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}_{m, 1}^{o}[0,0,0]=\tilde{u}^{o}(0)+\tilde{u}_{1}^{o, r}\left(\xi_{m}\right) \\
& \mathcal{U}_{m, 2}^{o}[0,0,0]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{u}_{1}^{o, r}$ has been defined in Theorem 3.

What does the previous theorem say?
It says that if $\xi_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{\Omega^{i}}$, then

- If $n=2$, then $u^{o}\left(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi_{m}\right)$ tends to $\tilde{u}^{o}(0)+\tilde{u}_{1}^{o, r}\left(\xi_{m}\right)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon, \epsilon \log \epsilon, \frac{1}{\log \epsilon}, \epsilon \log ^{2} \epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.
- If $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is even, then $u^{o}\left(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi_{m}\right)$ tends to $\tilde{u}^{o}(0)+\tilde{u}_{1}^{o, r}\left(\xi_{m}\right)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of $\epsilon, \epsilon \log \epsilon, \epsilon \log ^{2} \epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.
- If $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is odd, then $u^{o}\left(\epsilon, \epsilon \xi_{m}\right)$ tends to $\tilde{u}^{o}(0)+\tilde{u}_{1}^{o, r}\left(\xi_{m}\right)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to 0 and can be expanded into a convergent power expansion of
$\epsilon \quad$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough.
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